

Retention Is Infrastructure, Not a Schedule

Session 01 of 4 · Foundation

September 24, 2026 | Jason Stearns, LexShift

FOUR-PART SERIES

A Progression, Not a Collection



Each session builds on the one before it.

Retention is infrastructure. Not a schedule.

The reframe Session 01 is built on

What We'll Explore

Where programs break

- Why traditional retention programs fail during execution

- The gap between policy, systems, data, and behavior

- What retention as infrastructure means in practice

What holds it up

- The operational capabilities a modern program requires

- How retention supports disposition, privacy, litigation readiness, and AI readiness

Why Traditional Retention Programs Fail During Execution

Most programs fail at the handoff between policy and practice. The schedule gets approved, then nothing operational changes.

The pattern repeats: a hold that could not be applied cleanly, a disposition that never actually executed, a policy nobody in IT was accountable for.

That gap, between what the policy says and what the organization really does, is where this session starts.

The Gap Between Policy, Systems, Data, and Behavior

Policy

What the organization says it will do

Systems

What the technology is truly configured to do

Data

What the information actually looks like once you go looking

Behavior

What people really do day to day

What Retention as Infrastructure Means in Practice

Documentation

Reviewed once a year.

Approved, then shelved.

Re-decided by a person every time it is tested.

Infrastructure

Runs continuously.

Has clear owners.

Produces the same outcome whether or not anyone is watching.

The Operational Capabilities a Modern Program Requires

01

Classification

That holds up
outside a
spreadsheet

02

Disposition

Defensible
workflows, not
manual
exceptions

03

Auditability

A record of
what
happened
and why

04

Integration

Connected to
the systems
where the
data really
lives

What Retention Infrastructure Makes Possible

Compliance outcomes

- Defensible disposition
- Litigation readiness
- Data quality/authenticity

Trust outcomes

- Source of Truth
- Privacy protection
- AI readiness

YOU'LL LEAVE WITH

A Diagnostic Checklist: Documentation or Infrastructure?

Does our retention schedule change what systems do, or only what policy says?

Can we apply a hold or a disposition without a person revisiting and deciding on the process each time?

Do we know who owns retention operationally, not just who approved the policy?

Would our program hold up if a regulator, a court, or an AI project asked what data we can use right now?

DISCUSSION • 10 TO 15 MINUTES

Open Q&A

Move From Information Liability to Governed Advantage

Next: Session 02

Making Governance Work in the Real World — October 22

Register for the Series

<https://lexshift.com/fall-webinar-series/>



ARMA
INFOCON
2026

Savannah, GA
October 25-28
Expo Floor Booth 310